

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/724,755	11/28/2000	Hans-Michael Wenz	7414.0020-03	8421	
22852	7590 12/14/2001				
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP			EXAMINER		
1300 I STREET, NW			FREDMAN, JEFFREY NORMAN		
WASHINGTO	WASHINGTON, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1655	-	
			DATE MAILED: 12/14/2001	· /	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applic	cation No.	Applicant(s)					
		4,755	WENZ, HANS-MI	WENZ, HANS-MICHAEL				
Office Action Summar	Exami	ner	Art Unit					
	····	/ Fredman	1655					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMM - Extensions of time may be available under the provafler SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this - If the period for reply specified above is less than the - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maxim - Failure to reply within the set or extended period fo - Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704 Status	IUNICATION. isions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In n communication. irty (30) days, a reply within the um statutory period will apply au reply will, by statute, cause the nths after the mailing date of thi	o event, however, may a re statutory minimum of thirt nd will expire SIX (6) MON application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered time THS from the mailing date of this c ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication	s) filed on <u>26 Novemb</u>	<u>er 2001</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action	n is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in conclosed in accordance with the				ne merits is				
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) <u>52-86 and 115-119</u> is/	are pending in the app	lication.						
4a) Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from	consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)	are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to	0.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to re	estriction and/or election	n requirement.						
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to b	y the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/	are: a)⊡ accepted or b)□ objected to by tI	he Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected	ed to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a c	laim for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None	of:							
1. Certified copies of the price	ority documents have t	peen received.						
2. Certified copies of the price	ority documents have t	peen received in A	pplication No					
3. Copies of the certified cop application from the In * See the attached detailed Office a	iternational Bureau (P	CT Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage				
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a cla		·		l application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreig 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a cla	n language provisional	application has be	een received.					
Attachment(s)	ist semiorio priorit	,	33 . = 0 ana 01 121.					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revi 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-14			Summary (PTO-413) Paper No nformal Patent Application (PT					

Art Unit: 1655

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group II in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 52-64, 69-80, 85, 86 and 115-117 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Barany et al (WO 97/31256).

Barany teaches a kit (page 88, claim 138) comprising

(a) a plurality of oligonucleotide probe sets wherein each probe set has a different target sequence (page 12, lines 10-34) and comprises

Art Unit: 1655

(i) a first probe with a target specific portion and a addressable array specific portion, where the 5' terminal nucleotides of the addressable array portion can inherently function as a 5' primer specific portion and

(ii) a second probe comprising a target specific portion and a 3' addressable, where the terminal nucleotides of the addressable array portion can inherently function as a primer specific portion,

wherein the oligonucleotides in the set are suitable for ligation together when hybridized to each other adjacent to one another on a complementary target sequence

- (b) and where one of the oligonucleotides has a detectable reporter label (page 88, claim 138)
- (c) and a solid support with capture oligonucleotides complementary to the addressable array specific portions,
- (d) and a thermostable ligase such as Tth ligase (page 88, claim 138 and claim 143).
- (e) and a thermostable polymerase such as Taq polymerase (page 15, line 24 and page 89, claim 144).

Barany further teaches the use of fluorescent labels (page 25, lines 9-14) as well as the use of phosphorothicate nucleotides (page 14, lines 12-20 and page 13, lines 35-38). The examiner notes that one embodiment of the Barany kit would involve the entire probe being composed of phosphorothicates (see page 13, lines 35-38 and page 14, lines 12-20).

Art Unit: 1655

Barany teaches that the full length capture probe is attached to the solid support at the 5' end by a 5' amino modification which capture probes can inherently also function as primers for ampification (page 52, lines 9-11 and page 36, lines 14-20).

With regard to the orientation of the primer region and addressable region, it is noted that in an oligonucleotide, these terms represent intended use limitations and do not structurally effect the oligonucleotide. That is, for example, the following oligonucleotide:

5' - ACGTACGTGGTGGTGGTGGTAACAACAACAAC - 3'

is structurally identical, that is exactly the same, irrespective of whether the oligonucleotide has the INTENDED properties in a METHOD as below:

5' - ACGTACGT

GGTGGTGGT

AACAACAACAAC

Addressable region

primer region

target specific region

Or if the oligonucleotide has the INTENDED properties in a METHOD as below:

5' – ACGTACGT

GGTGGTGGT

AACAACAACAAC

primer region

addressable region

target specific region

Or even if the oligonucleotide has the INTENDED properties in a METHOD as below:

5' - ACGTACGTGGTGGTGGT

AACAACAACAAC

addressable region

target specific region

The ultimate sequence of this oligonucleotide will still be

5' – ACGTACGTGGTGGTGGTGGTAACAACAAC – 3' in each of the three situations above. Further, any region of any oligonucleotide is inherently and necessarily capable of function as a primer target region, or as an addressable region

Art Unit: 1655

or as a target specific region, which specificity is solely dependent upon experimental selection of the appropriate primer, capture probe or target.

4. Claims 52-63, 69-79, 85, 86 and 115-117 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (a) and (e) as being anticipated by Barany et al (U.S. Patent 6,027,889).

Barany teaches the use of kits (column 49, line 41) and teaches methods using products comprising

- (a) a plurality of oligonucleotide probe sets (column 23, lines 20-33) wherein each probe set has a different target sequence (column 23, lines 20-33 and column 26, line 37 to column 27, line 19) and comprises
 - (i) a first probe with a target specific portion and a addressable array specific portion, where there is an addressable array portion function and a region which functions as a primer specific portion and
 - (ii) a second probe comprising a target specific portion and a 3' addressable, where there is an addressable array portion function and a region which functions as a primer specific portion (column 23, lines 20-33 and column 26, line 37 to column 27, line 19),

wherein the oligonucleotides in the set are suitable for ligation together when hybridized to each other adjacent to one another on a complementary target sequence (column 23, lines 34-53)

(b) and the use of primers which amplify a primer specific portion of the oligonucleotides (column 23, lines 54-63),

Art Unit: 1655

(c) and where one of the oligonucleotides has a detectable reporter label (column 23, line 60),

- (d) and a solid support with capture oligonucleotides complementary to the addressable array specific portions (column 27, lines 10-19),
 - (e) and a thermostable ligase such as Tth ligase (column 33, ines 52-67),
 - (f) and a thermostable polymerase such as Taq (see figure 9, for example).

 Barany further teaches the use of fluorescent labels (column 3, lines 28-30).

With regard to the orientation of the primer region and addressable region, it is noted that in an oligonucleotide, these terms represent intended use limitations and do not structurally effect the oligonucleotide. That is, for example, the following oligonucleotide:

5' - ACGTACGTGGTGGTGGTAACAACAACAAC - 3'

is structurally identical, that is exactly the same, irrespective of whether the oligonucleotide has the INTENDED properties in a METHOD as below:

5' – ACGTACGT

GGTGGTGGT

AACAACAACAAC

Addressable region

primer region

target specific region

Or if the oligonucleotide has the INTENDED properties in a METHOD as below:

5' - ACGTACGT

GGTGGTGGTGGT

AACAACAACAAC

primer region

addressable region

target specific region

Or even if the oligonucleotide has the INTENDED properties in a METHOD as below:

5' - ACGTACGTGGTGGTGGT

AACAACAACAAC

addressable region

target specific region

Art Unit: 1655

The ultimate sequence of this oligonucleotide will still be

5' – ACGTACGTGGTGGTGGTGGTAACAACAAC – 3' in each of the three situations above. Further, any region of any oligonucleotide is inherently and necessarily capable of function as a primer target region, or as an addressable region or as a target specific region, which specificity is solely dependent upon experimental selection of the appropriate primer, capture probe or target.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 52-86 and 115-119 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barany et al (WO 97/31256) in view of Xu et al (Tetrahedron Lett. (1997) 38(32):5595-5598).

Barany teaches the limitations of claims 52-64, 69-80, 85, 86 and 115-117 as discussed above.

Barany does not teach the use of tosylated or lodate oligonucleotides for ligation Xu teaches tosylated and iodate oligonucleotides for ligation (page 5595).

It would have been *prima facie* obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the ligation kit of Barany with the use of iodate oligonucleotides since Xu states "This makes possible several practically useful template directed ligations, including the ligations of ssDNAs, cyclization of ssDNAs and

Art Unit: 1655

ligation of sticky ended duplexes. This reactions proceed in good yield and without specialized protecting groups or deprotection conditions. The method further obviates the need for ligase enzyme, which is costly on a preparative scale (page 5598)". An ordinary practitioner would have been motivated to substitute the oligonucleotide of Xu into the method of Barany for the express motivation of efficient ligation in good yield without the expense of the ligase enzyme.

7. Claims 52-64, 69-80, 85, 86 and 115-117 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barany et al (WO 97/31256) in view of Boyce-Jacino (WO 99/66076).

This rejection (and the 103 rejection following this rejection) is made so that if this case is appealed, and the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences determines that, contrary to the express teachings of all of the prior art from the original Mullis polymerase chain reaction patent in 1987 onward, it is not inherent in every oligonucleotide that there is a primer binding site, this 103 rejection expressly teaches this element.

Barany teaches a kit (page 88, claim 138) comprising

- (a) a plurality of oligonucleotide probe sets wherein each probe set has a different target sequence (page 12, lines 10-34) and comprises
 - (i) a first probe with a target specific portion and a addressable array specific portion, where the 5' terminal nucleotides of the addressable array portion can inherently function as a 5' primer specific portion and

Art Unit: 1655

(ii) a second probe comprising a target specific portion and a 3' addressable, where the terminal nucleotides of the addressable array portion can inherently function as a primer specific portion, wherein the oligonucleotides in the set are suitable for ligation together when hybridized to each other adjacent to one another on a complementary target sequence

- (b) and where one of the oligonucleotides has a detectable reporter label (page 88, claim 138)
- (c) and a solid support with capture oligonucleotides complementary to the addressable array specific portions,
- (d) and a thermostable ligase such as Tth ligase (page 88, claim 138 and claim 143).
- (e) and a thermostable polymerase such as Taq polymerase (page 15, line 24 and page 89, claim 144).

Barany further teaches the use of fluorescent labels (page 25, lines 9-14) as well as the use of phosphorothicate nucleotides (page 14, lines 12-20 and page 13, lines 35-38). The examiner notes that one embodiment of the Barany kit would involve the entire probe being composed of phosphorothicates (see page 13, lines 35-38 and page 14, lines 12-20).

Barany teaches that the full length capture probe is attached to the solid support at the 5' end by a 5' amino modification which capture probes can inherently also function as primers for ampification (page 52, lines 9-11 and page 36, lines 14-20).

Art Unit: 1655

Boyce-Jacino teaches an embodiments of probes which teaches that the addressable or capture region can be rearranged with the primer to form a primer, capture, target binding arrangement (see pages 13-18). Specifically, Boyce-Jacino states "In another preferred embodiment, the capture moiety comprises a specific sequence complementary to a PCR primer or portion thereof, used to amplify a region of the template strand (page 16, lines 5-10)."

It would have been *prima facie* obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the rearranged primer as taught by Boyce-Jacino in the method of Barany in order to permit amplification of the template strand within the primer in a PCR type reaction. An ordinary practitioner would have been motivated to modify the Barany primer to include a primer sequence within the capture moiety in order to permit amplification of the template strand and to permit nested amplification.

8. Claims 52-86 and 115-119 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barany et al (WO 97/31256) in view of Boyce-Jacino (WO 99/66076) and further in view of Xu et al (Tetrahedron Lett. (1997) 38(32):5595-5598).

Barany in view of Boyce-Jacino teaches the limitations of claims 52-64, 69-80, 85, 86 and 115-117 as discussed above.

Barany in view of Boyce-Jacino does not teach the use of tosylated or lodate oligonucleotides for ligation.

Xu teaches tosylated and iodate oligonucleotides for ligation (page 5595).

Art Unit: 1655

It would have been *prima facie* obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the ligation kit of Barany with the use of iodate oligonucleotides since Xu states "This makes possible several practically useful template directed ligations, including the ligations of ssDNAs, cyclization of ssDNAs and ligation of sticky ended duplexes. This reactions proceed in good yield and without specialized protecting groups or deprotection conditions. The method further obviates the need for ligase enzyme, which is costly on a preparative scale (page 5598)". An ordinary practitioner would have been motivated to substitute the oligonucleotide of Xu into the method of Barany for the express motivation of efficient ligation in good yield without the expense of the ligase enzyme.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey Fredman whose telephone number is 703-308-6568. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Jones can be reached on 703-308-1152. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3014 for regular communications and 703-305-3014 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Art Unit: 1655

JEFFREY FREDMAN

Jeffrey Fredman Primary Examiner Art Unit 1655

December 11, 2001